

Impact investing catalyzes the infrastructure needed for circular solutions

By Monica Puccetti and Angeles Toledo

Global material extraction exceeds 106 billion tonnes annually, while recycling rates remain only 6.9%¹. Combined with fast consumption, this creates a massive supply gap that a linear economy cannot fill. Circular infrastructure still lags behind second-life technologies, and fragmented US and EU regulations discourage investment, creating risks of asset stranding and bottlenecks. By deploying capital into circular infrastructure, investors can mitigate these risks while achieving attractive risk-adjusted returns and driving tangible solutions to real-world sustainability challenges.

The materials garbage crisis is more pressing than ever. With rising CO₂ emissions, affected biodiversity, and microplastics infiltrating our bodies, the impact is undeniable. While governments struggle with ineffective recycling policies, complex regulations, and the shortcomings of the UN Plastics treaty, investors have

the power to drive change. By financing innovative solutions, they can achieve attractive risk-adjusted returns while making a significant difference.

In this article, we explain why circularity is compelling and why specialist investors can make this a success.

What are the key challenges in today's global waste and materials landscape, and why does this matter now more than ever?

The world extracts over 106 billion tonnes of materials annually, yet recycles just 6.9%², creating a massive gap. Even as second-life technologies proliferate, hurdles are preventing progress towards circularity:

- Low recycling rates across materials streams;
- Chronical lack of capital for scaling recycling technologies;
- Fragmented, complex regulations – varying EPR

schemes³ and recycled content mandates – undermine investor confidence;

- Lack of global consensus, as seen in the stalled UN Plastic Treaty negotiations.

Resource strain, infrastructure gaps, and policy fragmentation are accelerating the urgency for change. Within five years, the EU's 2030 Circular Economy Plan and tightening US mandates, despite the temporary anti-ESG wave, will drive up compliance costs and boost demand for recycled inputs. The resulting stranded fossil assets may facilitate a more sustainable materials economy, while mitigating supply chain risks. Investors ignoring climate risk will face a growing climate-risk premium, which penalizes carbon-intensive strategies through higher capital costs, reduced valuations, and lower future returns overall.⁴

Why is circularity a compelling investment proposition to these challenges?

Circularity replaces the linear 'take-make-dispose' model with systems that preserve material value, cut environmental impact and strengthen resilience. Additionally, plastics pollution represents a 'grave, growing, and under-recognized danger to human and planetary health'⁵ due to the contamination in our bodies. Material production drives roughly 30% of global GHG emissions⁶. Closing the loops on plastics, composites, and metals could save up to 3.7 Gt CO₂ emissions annually⁷ by 2050, surpassing the EU's 2022 emissions⁸.

For investors, this translates into powerful vectors of value:

- **Tangible impact** by solving sustainability challenges while avoiding negative effects to health, CO₂ and biodiversity loss;
- **Decarbonization upside** via lower lifecycle emissions;
- **Investment opportunities** in the materials transition innovation, technologies and infrastructure plus revenue diversification;
- **Resilience and optionality** from raw-material price spikes, trade disputes and resource shortages.

As disasters intensify, stalled circularity demands urgent action to build an economy serving people and planet: resilient, nature-positive, and prosperous.

How can impact-driven investment catalyze systemic change in materials and manufacturing?

Investment in climate solutions is an institutional imperative to accelerate decarbonization and mitigate risks to communities, businesses, and livelihoods. Yet, inconsistent methodologies across asset classes hinder financing. Specialist impact fund managers bridge this gap with patient growth-equity capital, absorbing early-stage risk for first-of-a-kind second-life technologies for the circular economy. With deep sector expertise, they identify disruptive innovations, channel capital to those with greatest potential, and de-risk projects by aggregating demand and aligning stakeholders. Strategic partners such as consumer brands and petrochemical firms secure forward-purchase agreements, underwrite revenues, and validate circular feedstocks as viable long-term inputs. This collaborative model fosters

